

CITY OF VENETA
NOTICE OF PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION

DATE MAILED: September 7, 2007

IN THE MATTER OF: SITE PLAN REVIEW SR-1-07 & CUP-1-07
Request is for approval of a site plan review and conditional use permit to locate a cell tower on residentially zoned land adjacent to the City water tank on top of Bolton Hill.

APPLICANT: Verizon

On September 4, 2007, the Veneta Planning Commission approved the application for a site plan review and CUP to locate a cell tower on Bolton Hill. The Planning Commission found that the proposal meets the criteria listed in the Veneta Land Development Ordinance No. 461, Article 6, Section 6, Veneta Land Development Ord. 461, Sections 8.10 & 8.20(2)

Materials concerning this decision, including the final order dated September 6, 2007, are available for review during the regular office hours of 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday at Veneta City Hall located at 88184 Eighth Street; Veneta, OR. Copies may be purchased at Veneta City Hall or printed for free from the City's website at www.ci.veneta.or.us

This decision of the Veneta Planning Commission may be appealed to the Veneta City Council within 15 days of the date this notice was mailed. The written notice of appeal shall: (a) be made on the appeal form provided by the City and include applicant's valid signature; (b) be filed with the appropriate fee; and (c) include all matters specifically appealed, including a brief summary of the material presented to the Building and Planning Official or the Planning Commission upon which the decision which is being appealed was based. Specific statutory citations supporting the appeal shall also be included. Issues of appeal must be raised with sufficient specificity to have afforded the decision maker and the applicant, if appropriate, an adequate opportunity to respond to and resolve each issue. The failure to raise an issue in person or by letter filed in a timely manner precludes appeal and the failure to specify to which criterion the comment is directed, precludes appeal based on that criterion and precludes an action for damages in circuit court.